GOODSILL ANDERSON QUINN & STIFEL
A LIMITED LIABILITY LAW PARTNERSHIP LLP

PATRICIA M. NAPIER 3735-0
pnapier@goodsill.com
RANDALL C. WHATTOFF 9487-0
rwhattoff@goodsill.com
First Hawaiian Center, Suite 1600
999 Bishop Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Telephone: (808) 547-5600
Facsimile: (808) 547-5880

Attorneys for Defendant
CRUISE.COM, INC., dba CRUISE.COM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

VINCENT KHOURY TYLOR, Plaintiff, vs. CRUISE.COM, INC., a Delaware Corporation, dba CRUISE.COM; JOHN DOES 1-10; JANE DOES 1-10; DOE CORPORATIONS 1-10; DOE PARTNERSHIPS 1-10; and DOE ASSOCIATIONS 1-10, Defendants.	CIVIL NO. 15-00203 KSC (Copyright Infringement) **PLAINTIFF VINCENT KHOURY TYLOR AND DEFENDANT CRUISE.COM, INC., dba CRUISE.COM'S JOINT 26(F) REPORT OF THE PARTIES' PLANNING MEETING; CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

PLAINTIFF VINCENT KHOURY TYLOR AND DEFENDANT CRUISE.COM, INC., DBA CRUISE.COM'S JOINT 26(F) REPORT OF THE PARTIES' PLANNING MEETING

Plaintiff Vincent Khoury Tylor and Defendant Cruise.Com, Inc., dba Cruise.Com jointly submit this Report of the Parties' Planning Meeting pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 26.1(d) of the Rules of the United States District Court for the District of Hawai'i.

I. MEETING

Counsel for all the parties conferred on September 30, 2015 at the offices of Goodsill Anderson Quinn and Stifel LLP. J. Stephen Street and Dane K. Anderson attended on behalf of Mr. Tylor. Patricia M. Napier and Randall C. Whattoff attended on behalf of Cruise.com. A draft of this report was subsequently circulated among counsel and revised accordingly.

II. DISCOVERY PLAN

Pursuant to Rule 26(f)(3), the parties' views and proposals on a discovery plan were discussed:

Initial Disclosures (Rule 26(f)(3)(A)). The parties agreed to exchange the information required by Rule 26(a)(1) and Local Rule 26.1(a) by November 10, 2015.

Scope and Timing of Discovery (Rule 26(f)(3)(B)). The parties discussed the expected subject matters of discovery. The parties intend to conduct customary discovery on the claims and defenses, and will attempt to resolve any discovery disputes among themselves before bringing them before the Court. The parties agreed that the Court should set discovery deadlines pursuant to the Court's normal practice and its current schedule at the upcoming Rule 16 Scheduling Conference on October 27, 2015.

Electronic Discovery (Rule 26(f)(3)(C)). At this time, the parties do not anticipate any issues concerning discovery of electronically stored information. Such issues are possible given that the case relates to an allegedly infringing website, but the parties will work cooperatively to attempt to resolve any electronic discovery issues before bringing them before the Court.

Privilege (Rule 26(f)(3)(D)). At this time, the parties do not anticipate any unusual issues concerning claims of privilege or work product. A stipulated protective order was discussed by the parties, and it was generally agreed that such an order would be appropriate in this case.

Limitations on Discovery (Rule 26(f)(3)(E)). At this time, the parties do not anticipate any need for changes in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Other Orders (Rule 26(f)(3)(F)). The time to join other parties, amend the pleadings, complete discovery, and file motions under Rule 16(b) should be set by the Court at the Rule 16 Scheduling Conference pursuant to this Court's normal practices and its current schedule.

III. OTHER MATTERS

The parties have discussed the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case. The parties agreed to discuss these issues further after completing their initial case evaluations and meeting with their clients. The parties will be prepared to discuss these issues at the upcoming Rule 16 Scheduling Conference.

The parties have discussed alternative dispute resolution options, including, without limitation, the option of participation in the court's mediation program. The parties are prepared to consider this matter further and discuss options at the Scheduling Conference.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, October 13, 2015.

/s/ Dane Anderson
J. STEPHEN STREET
DANE ANDERSON

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, October 14, 2015.

/s/ Patricia M. NaPier
PATRICIA M. NAPIER
RANDALL C. WHATTOFF

Attorneys for Defendant
CRUISE.COM, INC., dba CRUISE.COM

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

VINCENT KHOURY TYLOR, Plaintiff, vs. CRUISE.COM, INC., a Delaware Corporation, dba CRUISE.COM; JOHN DOES 1-10; JANE DOES 1-10; DOE CORPORATIONS 1-10; DOE PARTNERSHIPS 1-10; and DOE ASSOCIATIONS 1-10, Defendants.	CIVIL NO. 15-00203 KSC (Copyright Infringement) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on the following on the date noted below:

Served Electronically through CM/ECF

J. Stephen Street, Esq. jsstreet@ip-law-hawaii.com
134 Maono Place
Honolulu, Hawaiʿi 96821
and
Dane Anderson, Esq. dane@andersonlawhawaii.com
P.O. Box #1621
Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96806

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, October 14, 2015.

/s/ Patricia M. NaPier

PATRICIA M. NAPIER
RANDALL C. WHATTOFF

Attorneys for Defendant
CRUISE.COM, INC., dba CRUISE.COM